
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/014,853	CARATI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christina Ildebrando	1725
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Christina Ildebrando</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Frederick Vastine</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 July 2004	Time:	
	licant's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 37 Prior art documents discussed:		
Thor art documents discussed.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT \	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summer 	The examiner will provide a value te record of the substance of	written summary of the substance the interview
)		
Chique		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Application	ant/Applicant's Representativ	e Signature – if appropriate)



Application No. 10/014,853

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claim 37 was amended, placing the application in condition for allowance. The amendment was proposed to preclude a rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph. Applicant's representative, Mr. Vastine, agreed to the changes, to be made by examiner's amendment. A copy of the agreed upon changes can be found in the attached examiner's amendment..